

Meeting Ministry of Justice and FIOM

At the office of SAV

3 June 2019

Aanwezig:

Peter Leverkamp hoofd jeugdbeleid min.

Beleid Interlandelijke adoptie, problematiek, onderzoekscommissie

Kunnen we mensen helpen bij het terug vinden van hun verleden wanneer dit niet duidelijk.

Respecteer taak CA moeten we dat wel of niet willen.

Minister Dekker ik wil komen met een gezamenlijk plan meerwaarde om dit gezamenlijk te doen.

Wat zijn de belemmeringen wat kunnen we doen.

Truus Groot

Anieck Aldewereld

Jasper Willemsen

Chamila Seppenwoolde

Voorzitter

Judith Smits

- begeleiding vanuit ministerie

Regels van dialoog:

- niet in discussie

- vragen stellen

Truus Groot

ISS - zoekacties waar geadopteerden vandaan komen en niet vandaan komen.

Gebruiken netwerk contacten van ISS.

Zoeken in NL.

Beheren dossier vanuit ministerie van organisaties die opgeheven zijn.

Legitimatieplicht.

Chamila: En wie mogen zich eerst voorstellen

Chamila: Esen:

2 maanden werkzaam bij FIOM aanvragen behandeling.

Neemt contact op met partner

Anieck Aldewereld

Werkt voor min. V&J ministerie gaf aan gezamenlijk plan.

Geld vanuit overheid moet voldoen aan eisen

- waarborgen continuïteit organisaties

- privacy waarborgen hoe lang opslaan

Levenkamp: we follow the CA critically. Do we want adoptions? Etc

Chamila: straight towards the MONEY!!

Chamila compares meeting FIOM with sitting at the table with your rapist!

Levenkamp bows down - wrong choice of location. Impacts.

Levenkamp: role of FIOM is also subject of discussion.

Sorry FIOM did not do a good job.

But not here to look into the past

Someone objects to the comparison of a rapist. Not the same

[14:26, 6/3/2019] Roelie: Levenkamp understands everything, but tries to get FIOM back into the project

[14:27, 6/3/2019] Roelie: FIOM talks around the bush. Nowadays so many ways to communicate. Kind of denies the refusal.

[14:27, 6/3/2019] Roelie: Chamila - FIOM had their chance. Did nothing.

[14:28, 6/3/2019] Roelie: Levenkamp said we did not give FIOM money for that. They wanted.

Jasper: 80 % of the adoption organisations takes a distance from FIOM> We want to talk to the Ministry

FIOM: not looking at the past, but to the future

That's what the minister asked

Almost 100 % agree with Plan. (Chamila: you can co-sign - laughter)

FIOM was never involved in ica. She says.

Something about people working before for FLASH doing searches???

FIOM: files to be in one place. Ministry pays for the storage

Levenkamp: should we not have organised all this different meetings?

Do they feel represented by you?

Yes: all behind the Plan

Facebookgroups not signed. But behind the Plan

they don't seem to know that there are adoptees from Austria

Chair: what is the problem with searches.

C/J: no funding

FIOM: cooperation, transparency

But they realised in December that does not work. Must be per country

PA: only DNA. But not true. Los Hijos does real searches

Jasper: about DNA US databases. Commercial. Sell data. Minister critical. UAI understands that.

Chamila: risks for families.

: Levenkamp also gave his DNA?! Just out of interest

Chamila - Plan Angel owns Exon. Conflict of interest

FIOM keeps pushing for a role of storing data. DNA etc.

They keep files 100 yea

Levenkamp doesn't understand the problem with FIOM. He says I may be dumb

Hello Hello!!!! BANGLADESH - FIOM - BIA

You are forgetting the BIG BIG PROBLEM. Traffickers, Crime. And they are involved

Jasper: You work with those who traded the children

Now about the file. Free access

Jasper: Bangladesh files are with MAK

Unacceptable

Their partner, WK.

Levenkamp: money from members? Contribution.

That's the attitude of the Ministry.

Unless you get into a subsidy relation.

FIOM: hear negative things about ACT/ARC

Chamila: opens it up. Anand FB

FIOMs budget for nazorg was cut by 60% by VWS

MyRoots now many requests, but not looking for cooperation with FIOM

Turkish girl: need personal care social worker. FIOM can help with that. Knowledge centre

Jasper: Clear: FIOM sends people to MyRoots. So then MyRoots gets to their limit!

Only pushing for cooperation FIOM

Chamila does not directly accept the presence of FIOM at the conference

Chamila refers no to the research of Gera, and the input of UAI. Presented as ADOC. Totally misrepresented. But got subsidy because UAI cooperated.

NEVER again, UAI will do that.

FIOM asks: Is there basic trust?

J/C we don't exclude anyone

Jasper: FIOM 98 % excluded, though

Levenkamp: Understand that there has not been done in the past

Jasper: about the ISS network dubious parties

C: Reach out : COnference organised by UAI, where then Ministry and FIOM can be invited. UAI in the lead to start communication. Subsidy for two day conference

Gesture that adoptees are being heard. Acknowledgement

After summer UAI will in any case organise conference after summer

Levenkamp finds it a good idea, but needs to be decided

Minutes will be made. UAI says they will send it forward to others. And must be agreed by UAI first.

Ministry wants all finished before end of the year. Latest

So also Shapla etc will come to the FIOM meeting.

FIOM: if you don't come to the table, then what can the Ministry do.

Question to UAI: How did you experience this meeting?

C: options. Look for solutions.

Well... tough start.

But one has to open up and communicate clear

SO this was necessary

J: Same. Remains troublesome that FIOM is part of the larger ISS.

You turn to parties who - the network

Turkish: is specialising now in Bangladesh. You cannot exclude...

Chair interrupts

FIOM: Turkish: I had expected more - joint, together

C: Too early. Conference may be the first step. A mega step.

Turkish: I want to help. Had hoped etc etc pushing pushy

Truus: happy to communicate etc. Good first step. Good to be critical towards each other.

C: We have asked ACT what was the FIOM's involvement

Truus: I am here 10 years. I don't know where to find that info.

Turkish: Dutch national adoptions, colleagues see how FIOM treated mothers. And how wrong things were done

C: need apologies and acknowledgement.

Levenkamp: I get a lot of energy of this talk

Good to see the emotions. Important to get it out.

L: Need to cooperate. Not from the start say: you are wrong

C: When - plan - schedule

Chamila: Shapla was not there

L: Wanted to be further advanced already.

C - talk about the previous meeting. Where agencies were present.

We must be ready to discuss.

Adoptees were not happy.

Roelie: Truus: idea was to have adoptees and agencies, TdH etc - and then we did it all together.

Roelie: J: No vergunninghouders. Problematic

J: Shapla and TdH cooperated. And what happened is terrible. And then they sit there at the table again. Not ok.

Minutes within a week. The last meeting is end June.

Levenkamp: before the recess of TK this process needs finished.